IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 9TH OCTOBER 2007 / 17TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 29593 of 2007(W)

PETITIONER:

S. BINDU, AGED 35 YEARS,
W/O. ASHOKAN KEEPALLIL, BHASKARA VILASAM,
VETTIKAVALA, KOTTARAKKARA TALUK, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.ABRAHAM SAMSON
SMT.LOVELY SAMSON

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KOLLAM.

2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
CRIME DETACHMENT, KOLLAM.

3. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PUNALUR.

4. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KUNNIKKODU, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.A. SALIM

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR & T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JJ.

Writ Petition (C) No.29593 of 2007-W

Judgment

Balakrishnan Nair, J.

The petitioner is the wife of an accused in Crime No.357/07. Alleging that for that reason, she was being harassed, along with another, she filed Writ Petition(C) No.27461/07 before this Court. This Court disposed of the said Writ Petition, recording the submission of the learned Government Pleader. The relevant portion of the said Judgment reads as follows :-

> *"The submission of the learned Government Pleader that the police have not harassed the petitioners and that the investigation including questioning of the petitioners will be carried out only in accordance with law, is recorded."*

Alleging that the harassment is still continuing, she is not permitted to open and run her bakery and her Maruti car is going to be seized, she has filed the present Writ Petition. She also points out that she has filed Ext.P4 representation before the Director General of Police. No action is taken on it. So, she prays for appropriate orders, restraining respondents 2 to 4 from harassing her. The learned Government Pleader upon instruction, denied all

the allegations of the petitioner. It is stated that the Police are not preventing the petitioner from opening or running the bakery. It is also submitted that the Police are not aware of the ownership of the Maruti car mentioned in Ext.P4. It is further submitted that if the Police are repeating the harassment, as alleged by her, she would have initiated contempt proceedings for the violation of Ext.P4 Judgment. The petitioner's main grievance relates to the running of the bakery and the apprehended seizure of the Maruti car. The aforementioned submissions of the learned Government Pleader concerning the above two points, would redress the grievance of the petitioner. So, recording the above submissions of the learned Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is closed. It is clarified that the power of the Police to investigate any crime will not be affected by this Judgment.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE

09.10.2007 **T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE**

sta